

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/699,025	11/03/2003	Stephen Bennett Elliott	2143	
75	90 07/05/2006		EXAMINER	
Stephen Bennett Elliott			BOCKELMAN, MARK	
702 Buffalo Spr Allen, TX 750			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
,			3766	
			DATE MAILED: 07/05/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A P P N	A 11 (1)				
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/699,025	ELLIOTT, STEPHEN BENNETT				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Mark W. Bockelman	3766				
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
VHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failt Any	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA THE SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. TO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. lety filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b) This	action is non-final.					
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4) 又	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application.						
<i>,</i> —	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	S) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	8) Claim(s) 1-29 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
iū) ☐ The drawing(s) tiled on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Š	see the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	a.				
Attach	.*/a)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) to of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)					

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

The examiner notes that claims 1-25 as filed by applicant improperly mix method and system statutory classes of invention. The examiner requires the following restriction based upon the best understanding of the claims. The examiner encourages applicant to amend the claims in his response to place them in correct form for prosecution on the merits

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1,3,5,7,9,11-15,17-18, 20 and 22 drawn to a method of monitoring heart rate, classified in class 128, subclass 898.
- II. Claims 2,4, 6, 8, 10, 16, 19, 21, 23 and 25, drawn to a system for detecting heart rate variability, classified in class 600, subclass 515.
- III. Claims 26-29, drawn to a method of providing feedback including EEGs, classified in class 600, subclass 545.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Invention I versus II and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the process does not require an eeg monitor or a heart variability monitor.

Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination I has separate utility such as

Page 3

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

as being performed without an eeg. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark W. Bockelman whose telephone number is (571) 272-4941. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 10:00 to 6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pezzuto can be reached on (571) 272 -6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MWB

June 23, 2006